

1  
2  
3  
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

6 \* \* \*

7 KHARI VARNER, *et al.*,

8 Plaintiffs,

9 v.

10 CPS/CCDFS, *et al.*,

11 Defendants.

Case No. 2:24-cv-00341-RFB-DJA

**ORDER**

12  
13 Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 21) of the  
14 Honorable Daniel J. Albregts, United States Magistrate Judge, entered on September 9, 2024. A  
15 district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations  
16 made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific written objections to the  
17 findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a).  
18 When written objections have been filed, the district court is required to “make a de novo  
19 determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations  
20 to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Local Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party  
21 fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct “any review,” de novo or  
22 otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140,  
23 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due by September 23, 2024. No  
24 objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case and concurs with the  
25 Magistrate Judge’s recommendation.

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1  
2 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation<sub>2</sub> (ECF No. 21)<sub>2</sub>  
3 is **ACCEPTED** and **ADOPTED** in full.

4 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that this action is **DISMISSED** without leave to amend.

5 The Clerk of Court is instructed to close this matter accordingly.

6  
7 **DATED:** March 27, 2025.

8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28



---

**RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II**  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**